

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/638,234	08/08/2003	Jacques Van Snick	LUD 5582.1 DIV (10019655)		
24972	7590 04/10/2006		EXAM	INER	
FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, LLP 666 FIFTH AVE			MERTZ, PREMA MARIA		
NEW YORK, NY 10103-3198			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1646		
			DATE MAILED: 04/10/2000	DATE MAILED: 04/10/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/638,234	VAN SNICK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Prema M. Mertz	1646	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statul Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 271 This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 44-50,53-59 and 63-67 is/are pendir 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) 44-50,63 and 65-67 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 53-59, 64 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the education of a drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objection.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	_		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/638,234 Page 2

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

1. Amended claim 44, 53 (3/27/2006), original claims 45-50, 54-59, and new claims 63-67 are under consideration by the Examiner.

- 2. Receipt of applicant's arguments and amendments filed on 3/27/2006 is acknowledged.
- 3. The following previous objections and rejections are withdrawn in light of applicants amendments filed on 3/27/2006:
- (i) the objection to the specification;
- (ii) the rejection of claim 52 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph as failing to comply with the written description requirement;
- (iii) the rejection of claims 44-59 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, scope of enablement; and
- (iv) the rejection of claims 44-59 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph.
- 4. Applicant's arguments filed on 3/27/2006 have been fully considered and were persuasive in part. The issues remaining and new issue are restated below.
- 5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim rejections-35 USC § 112, second paragraph

6. Claim 64 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 64, line 1, is improper because it recites "wherein said condition in excess lymphomagenesis" rather than the proper "wherein said condition is excess lymphomagenesis".

Art Unit: 1646

Claim rejections-Double Patenting

Non-statutory double patenting rejection (obviousness-type)

7. Claims 53-59 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type

double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,645,486 ('486).

This rejection is maintained for reasons of record set forth at pages 8-9 of the previous

Office action (1/4/2006).

Applicants argue that the amendment to claim 44 is believed to obviate the double

patenting rejection since claims 1-11 of US Patent 6,645486 are drawn to specific conditions as

are current claims 44-50. However, contrary to Applicants arguments, claims 53-59 have not

been amended by Applicants. Claims 53-59 are generic to claims 1-11 in the patent and

encompass subject matter to which the allowed claims are a species because a method for

inducing an elevated titer of an antibody specific for IL-9 by administering a conjugate of IL-9

and a carrier encompasses the claimed method in '486 drawn to a method for reducing activity of

IL-9 in specific pathological conditions by administering a conjugate of IL-9 and a carrier.

However, the patent claims are obvious from the instant claims because the patent claims are

directed to specific embodiments encompassed by instant claims 53-59. The patented method is

included in instant method claims 53-59.

The patented method claims if infringed upon would also result in infringement of the

broad method claims of the instant application. Allowance of the pending claim, therefore,

would have the effect of extending the enforceable life of the allowed claims beyond the

statutory limit.

Art Unit: 1646

Conclusion

Claims 44-50, 63, 65-67 are allowable.

Claims 53-59, 64 are rejected.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Advisory Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Prema Mertz whose telephone number is (571) 272-0876. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:00AM to 3:30PM (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet Andres, can be reached on (571) 272-0867.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (571) 273-8300. Faxed draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (571) 273-0876.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Art Unit: 1646

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Prema Mertz Ph.D., J.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1646 April 6, 2006